Adaptive Multi-rate Wavelet Method for Circuit Simulation

Kai BITTNER[1], *Hans Georg BRACHTENDORF*[1]

[1]University of Applied Sciences Upper Austria, Softwarepark 11, A-4232 Hagenberg, Austria

Kai.Bittner@fh-hagenberg.at, Hans-Georg.Brachtendorf@fh-hagenberg.at

arXiv:1604.07215v1 [math.NA] 25 Apr 2016

Abstract. *In this paper a new adaptive algorithm for multi-rate circuit simulation encountered in the design of RF circuits based on spline wavelets is presented. The circuit ordinary differential equations are first rewritten by a system of (multi-rate) partial differential equations (MPDEs) in order to decouple the different time scales. Second, a semi-discretization by Rothe's method of the MPDEs results in a system of differential algebraic equations (DAEs) with periodic boundary conditions. These boundary value problems are solved by a Galerkin discretization using spline functions. An adaptive spline grid is generated, using spline wavelets for non-uniform grids. Moreover the instantaneous frequency is chosen adaptively to guarantee a smooth envelope resulting in large time steps and therefore high run time efficiency. Numerical tests on circuits exhibiting multi-rate behavior including mixers and PLL conclude the paper.*

Keywords

RF circuits, circuit simulation, multirate simulation, envelope simulation, splines, wavelets.

1. Introduction

Widely separated time-scales occur in many radio-frequency (RF) circuits such as mixers, oscillators, PLLs, etc., making the analysis with standard numerical methods difficult and costly. Low frequency or baseband signals and high frequency carrier signals often occur in the same circuit, enforcing very small time-steps over a long time-period in the computation of the numerical solution. The occurrence of widely separated time-scales is also referred to as a multi-scale or multi-rate problem. Hence, classical numerical techniques, as transient analysis, result into prohibitively long run-times.

One method to circumvent the bottleneck is to reformulate the ordinary circuit DAEs in a system of partial DAEs (multi-rate PDAE). The method was first presented in [7,14], specializing to multi-rate circuits and systems in steady-state. In [22, 28] the technique has been firstly applied to initial transient simulation of driven circuits with a-priori known frequencies. In [8, 9, 11] a generalization has been derived for circuits with a-priori unknown or time-varying frequencies. These techniques opened the door to multi-rate techniques with frequency modulated signal sources or autonomous circuits such as oscillators with a priori unknown fundamental frequency [9, 11, 12].

Meanwhile, numerous articles on this topic have been published, often specializing the technique for applications, e.g. chirp signals [29]. The following list on multi-rate publications is therefore not comprehensive [13,19,21,23–27,29].

In recent time the expansion of the signal waveforms by a wavelet or spline basis instead of trigonometric basis functions became increasingly popular [5, 6, 10, 16, 17, 31, 32]. Trigonometric basis functions are badly suited for pulse-shaped signals due to a slow convergence of the trigonometric series and the Gibbs' phenomenon, whereas spline basis functions with their compact support enable an adaptive mesh with a local refinement and hence smaller system size. It has been shown in [10] that the spectra can be easily calculated from a cubic spline basis which is very important for the acceptance of the approach from the community of circuit designers.

Essential for the success of such methods is that the spline or wavelet expansion can be adapted to the particular signal shape in an circuit simulation example. First steps have been done in [5, 6], where an adaptive spline wavelet approach has been developed for transient analysis, i.e., the solution of initial value problems. Here we present an extension of this approach to multi-rate problems, where advantages of the approach can be fully explored. Sect. 2 will give a short introduction to the multi-rate circuit simulation problem. In Sect. 3–5 we describe the discretization of the multi-rate circuit equations based on a spline expansion for the semi discretized problem. Sect. 6 introduces the scheme for wavelet based adaptive grid generation. Numerical tests in Sect. 7 show the performance of the method.

2. The multi-rate circuit simulation problem

We consider circuit equations in the charge/flux ori-

ented modified nodal analysis (MNA) formulation, which yields a mathematical model in the form of a system of differential-algebraic equations (DAEs):

$$\frac{d}{dt}q\big(x(t)\big)+g\big(x(t)\big)+s(t)=0. \tag{1}$$

Here $x(t)\in\mathbb{R}^n$ is the vector of node potentials and specific branch currents and $q(x)\in\mathbb{R}^n$ is the vector of charges and fluxes. The vector $g(x)\in\mathbb{R}^n$ comprises static contributions, while $s(t)\in\mathbb{R}^n$ contains the contributions of independent sources. The DAEs in (1) are usually solved by integration formulas for stiff systems.

To separate the different time scales the problem is reformulated as a partial differential-algebraic equation (PDAE) as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}q\big(\hat{x}(\tau,t)\big)+\omega(\tau)\,\frac{\partial}{\partial t}q\big(\hat{x}(\tau,t)\big)+g\big(\hat{x}(\tau,t)\big)+\hat{s}\big(\tau,t\big)=0 \tag{2}$$

where $\omega(\tau)$ is an estimate of the (scaled) angular frequency. The bivariate function $\hat{x}(\tau,t)$ is related to the univariate solution $x(t)$ of (1) as follows. For any solution $\hat{x}(\tau,t)$ of (2) we get by

$$x_\theta(t)=\hat{x}\big(t,\Omega_\theta(t)\big),\quad \Omega_\theta(t)=\theta+\int_0^t\omega(s)\,ds \tag{3}$$

a solution of

$$\frac{d}{dt}q\big(x(t)\big)+g\big(x(t)\big)=\hat{s}\big(t,\Omega_\theta(t)\big). \tag{4}$$

Thus, if we choose $\hat{s}$ such that

$$s(t)=\hat{s}\big(t,\Omega_0(t)\big) \tag{5}$$

then the solution of (2) provides also a solution of (1).

Although the formulation (2) is valid for any circuit, it offers a more efficient solution only for certain types of problems. This is the case if $\hat{x}(\tau,t)$ is periodic in t and smooth with respect to τ. Then, a semi-discretization with respect to τ can be done resulting in a relative small number of periodic boundary problems in t for only a few discretization points τ_ℓ. In the sequel we will consider (2) with periodicity conditions in t, i.e., $\hat{x}(\tau,t)=\hat{x}(\tau,t+P)$ and suitable initial conditions $\hat{x}(0,t)=X_0(t)$. Here P is an arbitrary but fixed period length, which results in a scaling of $\hat{x}(\tau,t)$ and $\omega(\tau)$ as we will see in the next section. Although $P>0$ can be chosen arbitrarily only a few choices are of practical interest. These are essentially $P=1$ or $P=2\pi$ if one wants to work in a fixed periodic setting or one choses P as the period of the carrier so that the scaling of $\hat{x}(\tau,t)$ corresponds more to the physical setting.

As we have shown in [4, Lemma 3.2] the additional (scaled) parameter $\omega(\tau)$ can be used to adapt to the instantaneous frequency $f(\tau)$ of the carrier signal. If the instantaneous frequency is known (e.g. through the sources) one can set $\omega(\tau)=f(\tau)P$, to obtain optimal smoothness with respect to τ. If the instantaneous frequency is not known in advanced we consider $\omega(\tau)$ as an additional unknown, which is determined by the additional smoothness condition

$$\int_0^P\Big|\tfrac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\hat{x}(\tau,t)\Big|^2\,dt\ \to\min \tag{6}$$

(see [4] for details).

3. Semi-discretization

We discretize (2) with respect to τ (Rothe's method) using Gear's BDF[1] method of order s and obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s}\alpha_i^k q\big(X_{k-i}(t)\big)+\omega_k\frac{d}{dt}q\big(X_k(t)\big)+g\big(X_k(t)\big)+\hat{s}\big(\tau_k,t\big)=0$$

$$X_k(t)=X_k(t+P). \tag{7}$$

Here we have to determine an approximation $X_k(t)$ of $\hat{x}(\tau_k,t)$ from known, approximative solutions $X_{k-i}(t)$ at previous time steps τ_{k-i}. $i=1,\dots,s$. As it is well known, the BDF coefficients α_i^k are chosen such that for any polynomial p of degree up to s the derivative is computed exactly, i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s}\alpha_i^k p(\tau_{k-i})=p'(\tau_k).$$

Assuming that the τ_{k-i}, ω_{k-i} and $X_{k-i}(t)$ are known and fixed for $i>0$ we define

$$f_k(x,t):=\alpha_0^k q(x)+g(x)+\hat{s}\big(\tau_k,t\big)+\sum_{i=1}^{s}\alpha_i^k q\big(X_{k-i}(t)\big). \tag{8}$$

Then X_k is the solution of the periodic boundary value problem

$$\omega\frac{d}{dt}q\big(x(t)\big)+f_k(x(t),t)=0 \tag{9}$$

$$x(t)=x(t+P). \tag{10}$$

The new problem (9) is closely related to the original periodic steady state problem of the circuit, only modified by the additional 'source term' $\sum_{i=1}^{s}\alpha_i^k q\big(X_{k-i}(t)\big)$.

If $\omega=\omega_k\approx\omega(\tau_k)$ is not fixed in advance, then an additional condition is needed. Following [4] we use the discretized version

$$\int_0^P\big|X_k(t)-X_{k-1}(t)\big|^2\,dt\to\min \tag{11}$$

of (6).

4. Multi-rate source term

Except for autonomous systems we have to choose a multi-rate source term $\hat{s}(\tau,t)$, which satisfies for the given source $s(t)$ the relation (5), even if the frequency term $\omega(\tau)$

[1] Other multistep method (e.g. trapezoidal rule) can be used, too. However, for simplicity of the representation we consider only the BDF-method, which was also used for the implementation.

is not known in advance. Therefore, we consider first a reference source term for constant $\omega(\tau)$. We assume that there is a reasonable multi-rate term $\tilde{s}(\tau,t)$ which satisfies the relation

$$s(t) = \tilde{s}(t, \tilde{\omega} t)$$

i.e., (5) for a constant $\omega(\tau) = \tilde{\omega}$. For any non-constant $\omega(\tau)$ we can than choose

$$\hat{s}(\tau,t) = \tilde{s}(\tau, t + \sigma(\tau))$$

where

$$\sigma(\tau) = \tilde{\omega}\,\tau - \Omega_0(\tau) = \int_0^\tau \tilde{\omega} - \omega(s)\,ds.$$

If $\omega(\tau)$ is fixed, then $\sigma(\tau_k)$ needed for the computation of f_k can be computed exactly or at least with arbitrary accuracy. If $\omega(\tau)$ is not known, then an approximation $\sigma_k \approx \sigma(\tau_k)$ has to computed together with ω_k. We will use the quadrature formula

$$\sigma_k := \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} (\tau_\ell - \tau_{\ell-1}) \Big((\tilde{\omega} - \omega_{\ell-1})(1-W) + (\tilde{\omega} - \omega_\ell) W \Big). \tag{12}$$

In particular, with weights $W = 0$, $W = 1$ and $W = \frac{1}{2}$ we obtain the left and right rectangular rule and the trapezoidal rule, respectively.[2] If $W > 0$ we have to take into account σ_k and thus $f_k(x,t)$ depends on the unknown $\omega = \omega_k$ and with (8) and (12) the derivative can be calculated as

$$\frac{d}{d\omega} f_k(x,t) = -(\tau_k - \tau_{k-1})\, W\, \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{s}(\tau_k, t + \sigma_k). \tag{13}$$

5. A spline Galerkin method for the periodic boundary value problems

In [5, 6], an adaptive spline wavelet method for the initial value problem on the circuit equations (1) has been developed. For the periodic problem we will modify this approach by using a periodic basis. Then the periodic boundary conditions (10) are fulfilled automatically and has not to be enforced by additional equations.

We want to approximate the solution of (9) by a periodic spline function of order m. For given grid points t_ℓ with

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_N = P$$

we consider all $m-2$-times differentiable, P-periodic functions, which are polynomials of degree less than m at each subinterval $(t_\ell, t_{\ell+1})$. The break points t_ℓ are called spline knots, which are periodically extended by $t_{kN+\ell} = t_\ell + kP$. Note, that the periodicity condition implies that the periodic spline is a piecewise polynomial also with respect to the extended grid.

A stable and computational efficient basis for the linear space of spline functions is constituted by the B-splines $N_\ell^m(t)$, which are uniquely determined by their minimal support $[t_\ell, t_{\ell+m}]$ and the partition of unity $\sum_i N_i^m(t) = 1$ (for normalization). For more information on spline functions and B-splines as well as for efficient computational methods we refer the reader to the detailed description in [18, 30].

To expand the periodic solution of (9), we need periodic basis functions, which we obtain by the periodized B-splines

$$\varphi_\ell(t) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} N_{\ell+kN}^m(t) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} N_\ell^m(t - kP), \quad \ell = 1, \ldots, N,$$

which form a basis for the space of periodic spline functions.

Now we have to find a spline function

$$\tilde{x}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_k \varphi_k(t) \tag{14}$$

which approximates the solution of (9). Since $c_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (n is the number of equations and unknowns in the circuit equations (1)) we have to determine $n \times N$ coefficients $c_{k,i}$. Thus, we have to derive from (9) $n \times N$ conditions, which ensure that $\tilde{x}$ indeed approximates the solution of (9). Taking the integral over N subintervals we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = F_\ell(\boldsymbol{c}, \omega) &:= \int_{\hat{t}_{\ell-1}}^{\hat{t}_\ell} \omega \tfrac{d}{dt} q_k\big(\tilde{x}(t)\big) + f_k\big(\tilde{x}(t), t\big)\, dt \qquad (15)\\ &= \omega\Big(q_k\big(\tilde{x}(\hat{t}_\ell)\big) - q_k\big(\tilde{x}(\hat{t}_{\ell-1})\big)\Big) + \int_{\hat{t}_{\ell-1}}^{\hat{t}_\ell} f_k\big(\tilde{x}(t), t\big)\, dt \end{aligned}$$

for $\ell = 1, \ldots, N$. This N vector valued equations determine the vector coefficients c_k. Note, that the splitting points $\hat{t}_k$ do not coincide with the spline knots t_k, but they have to be chosen in relation to the spline grid. In particular, we need due to periodicity that $\hat{t}_N - \hat{t}_0 = P$.

The nonlinear system (15) can be solved by Newton's method. If $\omega = \omega(\tau)$ is known in advance we have to solve the linear system $\boldsymbol{A}\,\boldsymbol{d}_c = \boldsymbol{b}$ to determine the Newton correction $\boldsymbol{d}_c$ in

$$\boldsymbol{c}^{(k,j+1)} = \boldsymbol{c}^{(k,j)} - \boldsymbol{d}_c \tag{16}$$

where j is the Newton count. Starting from a sufficiently good initial guess, e.g. $\boldsymbol{c}^{(k,0)} = \boldsymbol{c}^{(k-1)}$, one obtains usually after sufficiently many steps a good approximation $\boldsymbol{c}^{(k)} = c^{(k,J)}$ of the solution of (15). In order to set up the linear system we have to compute the right hand side[3] $\boldsymbol{b} = F(\boldsymbol{c}, \omega) = \big(F_\ell(\boldsymbol{c}, \omega)\big)_{\ell=1,\ldots,N}$ as well as the Jacobian (with respect to $\boldsymbol{c}$) $A = D_c F(\boldsymbol{c}, \omega)$ with the matrix block entries

$$\frac{\partial F_\ell(\boldsymbol{c}, \omega)}{\partial c_k} = \omega\Big(C\big(\tilde{x}(\hat{t}_\ell)\big) - C\big(\tilde{x}(\hat{t}_{\ell-1})\big)\Big) + \int_{\hat{t}_{\ell-1}}^{\hat{t}_\ell} G\big(\tilde{x}(t), t\big)\, dt$$

where the Jacobians $C = D_x q(x)$ and $G = D_x g(x,t)$ are available in any circuit simulator, which is based on the MNA

[2] We recommend the choice $W = \frac{1}{2}$ or $W = 1$, since this leads to a more stable behavior of the sequence (ω_k).

[3] For clarity of notation we use $\boldsymbol{c} = \boldsymbol{c}^{(k,j)}$ and $\omega = \omega_{k,j}$ in the sequel, if the relation is clear from the context.

formulation (1). The integrals can be computed by a suitable quadrature rule. In practical computations where the spline order is chosen usually as 3 or 4 one can use the Simpson rule or the two point Gauß quadrature.

If $\omega_k = \omega(\tau_k)$ has to be determined during the computation, linearization of (15) results in the underdetermined system

$$\boldsymbol{A}\,\boldsymbol{d}_c + d_\omega\,\boldsymbol{z} = \boldsymbol{b} \tag{17}$$

under condition (11). Here $\boldsymbol{z} = \big(D_\omega F_\ell(\boldsymbol{c},\omega)\big)_{\ell=1,\dots,N}$, where with (13)

$$\begin{aligned} & D_\omega F_\ell(\boldsymbol{c},\omega) \\ &= q_k\big(\tilde{x}(\hat{t}_\ell)\big) - q_k\big(\tilde{x}(\hat{t}_{\ell-1})\big) + \int_{\hat{t}_{\ell-1}}^{\hat{t}_\ell} \tfrac{d}{d\omega} f_k\big(\tilde{x}(t),t\big)\,dt \\ &= q_k\big(\tilde{x}(\hat{t}_\ell)\big) - q_k\big(\tilde{x}(\hat{t}_{\ell-1})\big) \\ &\quad + (\tau_k - \tau_{k-1})\Big(\tilde{s}(\tau_k,\hat{t}_{\ell-1}+\sigma_k) - \tilde{s}(\tau_k,\hat{t}_\ell+\sigma_k)\Big)\,W. \end{aligned}$$

For computational reasons we replace (11) by a similar condition on the spline coefficients, namely

$$\big\|\boldsymbol{c}^{(k)} - \boldsymbol{c}^{(k-1)}\big\|_2^2 \to \min. \tag{18}$$

Following [4] we obtain the solution of (17) which satisfies (18) by

$$\boldsymbol{d}_c = \tilde{\boldsymbol{b}} - d_\omega\,\tilde{\boldsymbol{z}},$$

where $\tilde{\boldsymbol{b}} = \boldsymbol{A}^{-1}\,\boldsymbol{b}$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{z}} = \boldsymbol{A}^{-1}\,\boldsymbol{z}$ are computed by solving the corresponding linear systems and

$$d_\omega = \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{z}}^T\big(\boldsymbol{c}^{(k,j-1)} - \boldsymbol{c}^{(k-1)} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{b}}\big)}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{z}}^T\tilde{\boldsymbol{z}}}$$

where in addition to (16) ω_k is determined by the iteration

$$\omega_{k,j+1} = \omega_{k,j} - d_\omega, \qquad \omega_{k,0} = \omega_{k-1}.$$

6. Adaptive grid generation

It is still open, how the spline knots t_i are chosen. In particular, for functions with sharp transients it is important that we put more grid points at the location of this refinement. Since those locations are often not known in advance, it is important that the grid is generated automatically by an adaptive refinement. For this refinement we use spline wavelets for non uniform grids introduced in [1].

Starting on some coarse initial grid we solve (15) by Newton's method and obtain a first approximation, which we denote as $X(t)$. Now we apply a fast wavelet transform introduced in [3] and obtain

$$X(t) = \tilde{X}(t) + \sum_k d_k\,\psi_k(t). \tag{19}$$

The spline $\tilde{X}(t)$ is an approximation of $X(t)$ using only the even spline knots t_{2k}. The ψ_k are wavelets which carry detail information. In the setting of [1] the wavelets are compactly supported splines, with a chosen number of vanishing moments, which are localized near t_{2k+1}. Thus, the coefficients d_k are a measure of the local approximation error, which describes also the smoothness of $X(t)$. Therefore we insert additional knots in the neighborhood of t_{2k+1} if the coefficient d_k exceeds a given threshold. The spline representation of $X(t)$ for the new grid can then be computed efficiently by the Oslo algorithm [15, 20]. These refined spline expansion is used as a new initial guess for another Newton iteration.

This approach is repeated several times, with Newton tolerances and wavelet threshold reduced for each refinement. This leads to better and better approximations, which provide more and more information for the grid refinements leading to an almost optimal grid. Furthermore, this approach is computational efficient, since the first Newton iterations are computed on a relatively small grid. For the final refinements usually one Newton update is sufficient, since we have already an excellent initial guess. The whole process is stopped if the Newton iteration after the last refinement gets below a given error bound. These error bound is indeed a very good estimate of the achieved error. For details on the refinement algorithm we refer to [3].

In Sect. 5 we suggested to use the solution X_{k-1} of the previous time step τ_{k-1} as initial guess (predictor) for Newton's method. In fact any reasonable predictor should be based on solutions from previous time steps such that the following approach should be used for other predictors, too. Due to the smoothness in τ the difference between X_{k-1} and X_k should be small, and we can expect fast convergence of Newton's method. However, the refinements in the adaptive method described above will increase the number of spline knots and thus the size of the problem. Therefore, we will use an approximation of X_{k-1} on a coarser grid as initial guess. An efficient approximation can be achieved by wavelet thresholding as follows. From the wavelet expansion (19) of X_{k-1} we remove terms with small wavelet coefficients d_k, i.e., an approximation is obtained as

$$Y(t) = \tilde{X}(t) + \sum_{|d_k|>\varepsilon} d_k\,\psi_k(t). \tag{20}$$

If the threshold ε is chosen in the order of magnitude of the error tolerance of the method, than we can still expect the initial guess $Y(t)$ to be as good as $X_\ell(t)$ itself, while the computational cost is reduced. Using the approach from [3] removing $\psi_k(t)$ is equivalent to remove the knot t_{2k+1}. Thus, the method will remove spline knots, which became dispensable due to a change in signal shape over several time steps τ_k, or which where not needed from the beginning due to the rough error estimate in the refinement described above. This leads to an almost optimal grid for any given error tolerance. For more details on this grid coarsening algorithm we refer to [3].

7. Numerical tests

The above algorithm is implemented in C++, using a C++ library for circuit description and device models.

7.1 Gilbert mixer

Our first example is the simulation of a down-converting Gilbert mixer. The inputs are a sinusoidal frequency of $f_{\rm rf} = 99.9$MHz and a digital reference signal of fundamental frequency $f_{\rm lo} = 100$MHz, which switches between -5V and 5V. Since the driving frequencies are known, we have chosen $\omega(\tau) = 1$ and carrier period to $P = 10^{-8}$s, which corresponds to the frequency of 100MHz. In Fig. 1 shows the resulting output signal. In τ-direction one can see the smooth sinusoidal envelope of frequency $f_{\rm out} = f_{\rm lo} - f_{\rm rf} = 0.1$MHz, while the t direction shows the influence of the carrier signal. In particular, at the jump discontinuities of the input signal one can observe an overshoot of the output signal, due to capacitive effects. The simulation with 28 envelope time steps for 20000 periods needed 10s, while a transient simulation was done in 8min.



Fig. 1. Multi-rate solution for Gilbert mixer.

In Fig. 2 the corresponding adaptive spline grids for the envelope time steps τ_k are shown. As expected, it can be observed that the adaptive wavelet refinement leads to a fine grid at the jump locations, while only few spline knots are needed to represent the smooth parts in between. Furthermore we can see that roughly 30 envelope time steps are needed for an interval which contains 2200 oscillations of the reference signal.



Fig. 2. Adaptive grid for Gilbert mixer.

Obviously the spline grid does not change much with τ, since the locations of sharp transients do not change with τ. However, we will consider examples in the sequel, where an optimal grid is not known in advance, but may even change with τ.

7.2 Colpitts Oscillator

In our next example we consider the start up phase a 3MHz Colpitts-Quartz oscillator. To avoids unwanted effects from numerical damping, we have used trigonometric splines [30, Sect. 10.8] instead of the usual polynomial splines (see [2] for details). The transistor used as feedback amplifier introduces some nonlinear effects into the output (see Fig. 3), which results in a sharp edge near $t = 0.24\mu$s. The simulation with 202 envelope time steps for 7500 periods needed 10s, while a transient simulation was done in 165min.



Fig. 3. Multi-rate solution for 3MHz Colpitts oscillator.

The spline grids in Fig. 4 are adapted to this edge, when it becomes prominent at $\tau \approx 5$ms, and the grids are even adapted to a slight change of location in the following envelope time steps, which shows the perfect functioning of our approach.



Fig. 4. Adaptive grid for Colpitts oscillator.

7.3 A Phase Locked Loop

Our next example is a Phase Locked Loop (PLL), which generates an output signal whose phase is related to the phase of the input "reference" signal. As depicted in Fig. 5, this is achieved, by comparing the phases of the "reference" signal and the output or "feedback" signal in a phase detector. The result is than filtered, in order to stabilize the behavior of the PLL, and fed to a Voltage Controlled Oscillator whose frequency depends on the (filtered) phase difference. The output is fed back (possibly to a frequency divider) to the phase detector. If the PLL is locked, the phases of reference and feedback signal are synchronized. Thus both signal will have (almost) the same local frequency.



Fig. 5. Schematic of a PLL.

We have tested a PLL (containing 205 MOSFETs) with a frequency modulated sinusoidal signal with center frequency 25kHz, which corresponds to 800kHz oscillator frequency due to a frequency divider of factor 32. The baseband signal is also sinusoidal with frequency 10Hz and frequency deviation 100Hz. The estimated instantaneous frequency in Fig. 6 (determined based on $\omega(\tau)$) shows after a short startup phase a good agreement with the baseband signal.



Fig. 6. Estimated instantaneous frequency for the PLL.

This is also reflected by the control signal of the VCO in Fig. 7, which is obtained by filtering the output of the phase detector (Fig. 8). Note, that the envelope corresponds to the baseband signal, while the carrier signal is due to the filtering almost constant. This allows to do the shown envelope simulation using only 160 envelope time steps, while a corresponding transient analysis would contain 5000 oscillations. The wavelet envelope simulation of this circuit was done in 7 min. However, a comparable transient simulation on the same simulator needed 33 hours.



Fig. 7. PLL example. Control signal of the VCO (multi-rate).



Fig. 8. PLL example. Output of the phase detector (multi-rate).

We will have a closer look to the start-up phase before the PLL is locked, which gives a good impression how the adaptive wavelet method works. For this we have a closer look at the phase detector output for t near 33ms, where sharp edges are present as one can see in Fig. 9. The change in the signal shape is due to changes in the phase difference (with τ) during transient response.



Fig. 9. PLL example. Detail of the phase detector output from Fig. 8.

In Fig. 10 one can see the corresponding spline grid. Obviously, the grid is refined around the sharp edges in the signal, as expected



Fig. 10. PLL example. Spline grid corresponding to the cutout in Fig. 9.

Acknowledgements

This work has been partly supported by the ENIAC research project ARTEMOS under grant 829397 and the FWF under grant P22549.

References

[1] BITTNER, K. Biorthogonal spline wavelets on the interval. In CHEN, G., LAI, M.-J., editors, *Wavelets and Splines: Athens 2005*, Nashboro Press, Brentwood, TN, 2006, p. 93–104.

[2] BITTNER, K., BRACHTENDORF, H. G. Trigonometric splines for oscillator simulation. In *22nd International Conference Radioelektronika*, 2012, p. 1–4.

[3] BITTNER, K., BRACHTENDORF, H. G. Fast algorithms for grid adaptation using non-uniform biorthogonal spline wavelets. in preparation.

[4] BITTNER, K., BRACHTENDORF, H. G. Optimal frequency sweep method in multi-rate circuit simulation. *COMPEL*, vol. 33, no. 3, to appear.

[5] BITTNER, K., DAUTBEGOVIC, E. Adaptive wavelet-based method for simulation of electronic circuits. In MICHIELSEN, B., POIRIER, J.-R., editors, *Scientific Computing in Electrical Engineering 2010*, Mathematics in Industry, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2012, p. 321–328.

[6] BITTNER, K., DAUTBEGOVIC, E. Wavelets algorithm for circuit simulation. In GÜNTHER, M., BARTEL, A., BRUNK, M., SCHÖPS, S., STRIEBEL, M., editors, *Progress in Industrial Mathematics at ECMI 2010*, Mathematics in Industry, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2012, p. 5–11.

[7] BRACHTENDORF, H. G. *Simulation des eingeschwungenen Verhaltens elektronischer Schaltungen*. Shaker, Aachen, 1994.

[8] BRACHTENDORF, H. G. On the relation of certain classes of ordinary differential algebraic equations with partial differential algebraic equations. Technical Report 1131G0-971114-19TM, Bell-Laboratories, 1997.

[9] BRACHTENDORF, H. G. Theorie und Analyse von autonomen und quasiperiodisch angeregten elektrischen Netzwerken. Eine algorithmisch orientierte Betrachtung. Universität Bremen, 2001. Habilitationsschrift.

[10] BRACHTENDORF, H. G., BUNSE-GERSTNER, A., LANG, B., LAMPE, H. Steady state of electronic circuits by cubic and exponential splines. *Electrical Engineering*, 2009, vol. 91, p. 287–299.

[11] BRACHTENDORF, H. G., LAUR, R. A time-frequency algorithm for the simulation of the initial transient response of oscillators. In *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. on Circuits and Systems*, Monterey, 1998.

[12] BRACHTENDORF, H. G., LAUR, R. Transient simulation of oscillators. Technical Report 1131G0-980410-09TM, Bell-Laboratories, 1998.

[13] BRACHTENDORF, H. G., WELSCH, G., LAUR, R. A novel time-frequency method for the simulation of the steady state of circuits driven by multi-tone signals. In *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. on Circuits and Systems*, Hongkong, 1997, p. 1508–1511

[14] BRACHTENDORF, H. G., WELSCH, G., LAUR, R., BUNSE-GERSTNER, A. Numerical steady state analysis of electronic circuits driven by multi-tone signals. *Electronic Engineering*, 1996, vol. 79, p. 103–112.

[15] COHEN, E., LYCHE, T., RIESENFELD, R. Discrete B-splines and subdivision techniques in computer aided geometric design and computer graphics. *Comp. Graphics and Image Proc.*, 1980, vol. 14, p. 87–111.

[16] DAUTBEGOVIC, E.,. *Transient Simulation of Complex Electronic Circuits and Systems Operating at Ultra High Frequencies*. PhD thesis, Dublin City University, 2005.

[17] DAUTBEGOVIC, E., CONDON, M., BRENNAN, C. An efficient nonlinear circuit simulation technique. *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, 2005, vol. 53, no. 2, p. 548 –555.

[18] DE BOOR, C. *A Practical Guide to Splines*. Springer, New York, 1978.

[19] LANG, B. *Einbettungsverfahren für Netzwerkgleichungen*. PhD thesis, Universität Bremen, 2002.

[20] LYCHE, T. and MØRKEN, K. Making the oslo algorithm more efficient. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 1986, vol. 23, p. 663–675.

[21] MEI, T., ROYCHOWDHURY, J., COFFEY, T., HUTCHINSON, S., DAY, D. Robust, stable time-domain methods for solving mpdes of fast/slow systems. *IEEE Trans. Comput. Aided Des. Integr. Circuits Syst.*, 2005, vol. 24, no. 2, p. 226–239.

[22] NGOYA, E. and LARCHEVÈQUE, R. Envelope transient analysis: A new method for the transient and steady state analysis of microwave communication circuit and systems. In *Proc. IEEE MTT-S Int. Microwave Symp.*, San Francisco, 1996, p. 1365–1368.

[23] OLIVEIRA, J. and PEDRO, J. Efficient RF circuit simulation using an innovative mixed time-frequency method. *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, 2011, vol. 59, no. 4, p. 827 –836.

[24] OLIVEIRA, J. F. and PEDRO, J. C. An efficient time-domain simulation method for multirate RF nonlinear circuits. *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, 2007, vol. 55, no. 11, p. 2384–2392.

[25] OLIVEIRA, J. F. and PEDRO, J. C. A multiple-line double multirate shooting technique for the simulation of heterogeneous RF circuits. *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, 2009, vol. 57, no. 2, p. 421–429.

[26] PULCH, R. and GÜNTHER, M. A method of characteristics for solving multirate partial differential equations in radio frequency application. *Appl. Numer. Math.*, 2002, vol. 42, p. 399–409.

[27] RIZZOLI, V., MASOTTI, D., MASTRI, F., MONTANARI, E. System-oriented harmonic-balance algorithms for circuit-level simulation. *IEEE Trans. Comput. Aided Des. Integr. Circuits Syst.*, 2011, vol. 30, no. 2, p. 256–269.

[28] ROYCHOWDHURY, J. Efficient methods for simulating highly nonlinear multi-rate circuits. In *Proc. IEEE Design Automation Conf.*, 1997, p. 269–274.

[29] ROYCHOWDHURY, J. Analyzing circuits with widely separated time scales using numerical PDE methods. *Circuits and Systems I: Fundamental Theory and Applications, IEEE Transactions on*, 2001, vol. 48, no. 5, p. 578 –594.

[30] SCHUMAKER, L. L. *Spline Functions: Basic Theory*. Wiley, New York, 1981.

[31] SOVEIKO, N., GAD, E., NAKHLA, M. A wavelet-based approach for steady-state analysis of nonlinear circuits with widely separated time scales. *IEEE Microwave Wireless Compon. Lett.*, 2007, vol. 17, no. 6, p. 451–453.

[32] SOVEIKO, N. and NAKHLA, M. Wavelet harmonic balance. *Microwave and Wireless Components Letters, IEEE*, 2003, vol. 13, no. 6, p. 232–234.

About Authors...

Kai BITTNER received the Dipl.-Math. degree from the University of Rostock, Germany in 1996 and the PhD degree from the Technical University Munich, Germany, in 2000. From 2000 to 2002, he was a Post-Doctoral Researcher at the University of Missouri — St. Louis. In 2002, he joined the academic staff of the University of Ulm. From 2008 until 2010 he worked as a Researcher in the ICESTARS project funded by the European Union. He is currently employed at the University of Applied Science of Upper Austria. His research interests are wavelets, numerical analysis of differential equations, approximation theory, and circuit simulation.

Hans Georg BRACHTENDORF graduated in Electrical Engineering from the RWTH Aachen, Germany in 1989 and obtained the Dr.-Ing. degree from the University of Bremen, Institute for Electromagnetic Theory and Microelectronics in 1994, also in Electrical Engineering. From 1994-2001 he was an Assistant Professor (C1) also at the University of Bremen and obtained the Venia Legendi (Habilitation) from the same university in 2001. In 1997-1998 he was affiliated with the Wireless Laboratory of Bell Laboratories/Lucent Technologies in Murray Hill/New Jersey, where he performed research in circuit simulation and design. In 2001 he joined the Fraunhofer Institute for Integrated Circuits in Erlangen, Germany. His focus there was on system design and simulation for satellite broadcasting systems (WorldSpace, XM radio) and transceiver designs. Since 2005 Dr. Brachtendorf is full professor at the Fachhochschule Oberösterreich for System Design and Simulation, Communications and Signal Processing. He is author and co-author of 1 book and numerous technical papers dealing mainly with circuit simulation and device modeling. He holds four patents in various fields of circuit analysis and design, including a patent for a novel image reject filter, a subsampling receiver architecture and on multirate simulation techniques. His research interests encompass circuit design, modeling and simulation as well as signal processing and digital communication.